

9427

APPENDIX C (formerly D)

9428

RESOURCE FOR SECONDARY SCHOOL TEACHERS: CIRCUMCENTER,

9429

ORTHOCENTER, AND CENTROID

9430

The purpose of this appendix is to give a demonstration—albeit on a small scale—of

9431

how the usual tedium and pitfalls of the axiomatic development of Euclidean geometry

9432

might be avoided. It deals with very standard materials: why the perpendicular bisectors

9433

(resp., *altitudes* and *medians*) of a triangle must meet at a point, the *circumcenter* (resp.,

9434

orthocenter and *centroid*) of the triangle. The exposition starts at the lowest level—the

9435

axioms—and ends with a proof of the concurrence (i.e., meeting at a point) of the medians.

9436

It also includes a collection of exercises on proofs as an indication of how and when such

9437

exercises might be given. The major theorems to be proved (Theorems 1 and 11–14) are

9438

all interesting and are likely regarded as surprising to most students. These theorems

9439

would therefore do well to hold students' attention and convince students of the value of

9440

mathematical proofs.

9441

The goal of this appendix is to prove the concurrence of the medians. If one turns this

9442

proof “inside out,” so to speak, one will get the proof of the concurrence of the altitudes.

9443

The proof of the latter theorem is also included as is a demonstration of the concurrence of

9444

the perpendicular bisectors since that is also needed. The fact that the concurrence of the

9445

angle bisectors (the *incenter*) is left out is therefore entirely accidental. This appendix

9446

makes no pretense at completeness because its only purpose is to demonstrate a

9447

particular approach to geometric exposition, but if it did, then certainly the four centers

9448

would have been discussed together.

9449

We specifically call attention to the following features:

9450

1. The appearance of the exercises on proofs is intentionally gauged to approximate

9451

at what point of the axiomatic development those exercises should be given to

9452

students in a classroom situation. The first of such exercises asks only for a

9453

straightforward imitation of a proof that has just been presented (Lemma (2B)). The

9454

next one asks only for the reasons for some steps in the proof of Lemma 6, and by

then students have already been exposed to several nontrivial proofs. The first exercise that asks for a genuine proof occurs after Lemma 7. In other words, students are given ample time to absorb the idea of a proof by studying several good examples before they are asked to construct one themselves.

A conscientious effort was also made to ensure that the exercises all have some geometric content so that any success with them would require some geometric understanding instead of just facility with formal reasoning.

2. Certain facts are explicitly assumed without proof before some of the proofs (*local axiomatics*). Students should be informed that they too can make use of these unproven assertions.
3. None of the results presented is trivial to a beginner (except Lemma 4). It is hoped that, altogether, these results will convince the students of the benefit of learning about proofs; namely, to understand why some interesting things are true and be able, in turn, to present arguments to convince other people. In fact, most students probably do not believe any of the major theorems (Theorems 1 and 11–14) before being exposed to their proofs.
4. The concurrence of the altitudes and medians (Theorems 11 and 14) is usually not presented in standard textbooks except by use of coordinate geometry or the concept of similarity. Thus those theorems tend not to appear in a typical geometry curriculum. However, the easy access to them as demonstrated by this appendix should be a convincing argument that, with a little effort, it is possible to present students with interesting results very early in a geometry course.
5. The two-column proofs given in the following pages most likely do not conform to the rigid requirements imposed on the students in some classrooms (cf. Schoenfeld 1988, 145–66). However, for exposition, they are perfectly acceptable by any mathematical standards. It is hoped that their informal character would help restore the main focus of a proof, which is the correctness of the mathematical reasoning instead of a rigidly correct exposition.

6. The proof of Theorem 12 is given twice: once in the two-column format and the second time in the narrative (paragraph) format. In the classroom such “double-proofs” should probably be done for a week or two to lead students away from the two-column format. The proof of Theorem 13 is given only in the narrative format.

Axioms

We shall essentially assume the School Mathematics Study Group (MSG) axioms, which are *paraphrased* below rather than quoted verbatim for easy reference; the relevant definitions are usually omitted (see Cederberg 1989, 210–11). Only those axioms pertaining to *plane* Euclidean geometry are given. Moreover, a school geometry course has no time for a *minimum* set of axioms. The last three axioms have therefore been added to speed up the logical development.


1. Two points A and B determine a unique line, to be denoted by AB .
2. (The Distance Axiom). To every pair of distinct points there corresponds a unique positive number, called their *distance*. This distance satisfies the requirement of the next axiom.
3. (The Ruler Axiom). Every line can be put in one-one correspondence with the real numbers so that if P and Q are two points on the line, then the absolute value of the difference of the corresponding real numbers is the distance between them.
4. (The Ruler Placement Axiom). Given two points P and Q on a line, the correspondence with real numbers in the preceding axiom can be chosen so that P corresponds to zero and Q corresponds to a positive number.
5. There are at least three noncollinear points.
6. (The Plane Separation Axiom). Given a line ℓ . Then the points not on ℓ form two convex sets, and any line segment \overline{AB} joining a point A in one set and a point B in the other must intersect ℓ . The convex sets are called the *half-planes* determined by ℓ .
7. (The Angle Measurement Axiom). To every $\angle ABC$ there corresponds a real number between 0 and 180, to be denoted by $m\angle ABC$, called the *measure* of the angle.

- 9511 8. (The Angle Construction Axiom). Given a line AB and a half-plane H determined by
 9512 AB , then for every number r between 0 and 180, there is exactly one ray \overrightarrow{AP} in H
 9513 so that $m\angle PAB = r$.
- 9514 9. (The Angle Addition Axiom). If D is a point in the interior of $\angle BAC$, then
 9515 $m\angle BAC = m\angle BAD + m\angle DAC$.
- 9516 10. (The Angle Supplement Axiom). If two angles form a linear pair, then their measures
 9517 add up to 180.
- 9518 11. SAS Axiom for congruence of triangles.
- 9519 12. (The Parallel Axiom). Through a given external point, there is at most one line
 9520 parallel to a given line.
- 9521 13. (The Area Axiom). To every polygonal region, there corresponds a unique positive
 9522 number, called its *area*, with the following properties: (i) congruent triangles have
 9523 the same area; (ii) area is additive on disjoint unions; and (iii) the area of a rectangle
 9524 is the product of the lengths of its sides.
- 9525 14. SSS Axiom for congruence of triangles.
- 9526 15. ASA Axiom for congruence of triangles.
- 9527 16. (The AA Axiom for Similarity). Two triangles with two pairs of angles equal are
 9528 similar.

9529 Perpendicularity

9530 *In the following exposition, we shall denote both the line segment from point A to*
 9531 *point B and the distance from A to B simply by \overline{AB} . In other words, AB will denote*
 9532 *also the length of the line segment AB . Similarly, we shall shorten the notation for the*
 9533 *measure of an angle $m\angle ABC$ to just $\angle ABC$. Thus $AB < CD$ means CD is longer than*
 9534 *AB , and $\angle ABC = 45$ means angle ABC has 45 degrees.*

9535 Recall that $\angle DCB$ is a *right angle*, (see figure 1) and DC is *perpendicular* to CB , if for a
 9536 point A collinear with C and B and on the other side of C from B , $\angle DCA = \angle DCB$. If $\angle DCB$
 9537 is a right angle, then its measure is 90 because by the Angle Supplement Axiom $\angle DCA +$
 9538 $\angle DCB = 180$ so that $\angle DCB + \angle DCB = 180$, and we obtain $\angle DCB = 90$.

**Figure 1**

Similarly, $\angle DCA = 90$. Conversely, if A, C, B are collinear and $\angle DCB = 90$, the same argument shows $\angle DCA = 180 - \angle DCB = 90$, and $\angle DCB = \angle DCA$ so that $\angle DCB$ is a right angle. Thus we can assert that two lines ℓ_1, ℓ_2 are perpendicular if one of the angles they form is 90.

Recall also that a point C on a segment \overline{AB} is the *midpoint* of AB if $AC = CB$. (Recall the *convention*: AB denotes the line containing A and B , and \overline{AB} denotes the line segment joining A to B .) The straight line passing through the midpoint of a segment and perpendicular to it is called the *perpendicular bisector* of the segment. Note that every segment has a perpendicular bisector. Indeed, given \overline{AB} , the Ruler Axiom guarantees that there is a midpoint C of \overline{AB} , and the Angle Construction Axiom guarantees that there is an $\angle DCB = 90$. Then by the preceding discussion, $DC \perp AB$, and DC is the perpendicular bisector.

We shall need the fact that the perpendicular bisector of a segment is unique; i.e., if DC and $D'C'$ are perpendicular bisectors of \overline{AB} , then $DC = D'C'$. This is so intuitively obvious that we shall not spend time to prove it.


[For those interested in a proof, however, one uses the Distance Axiom and the Ruler Axiom to show that the midpoint of a segment must be unique, and then one uses the Angle Construction Axiom to show that the line passing through the midpoint and perpendicular to the segment is also unique.]

Three lines are *concurrent* if they meet at a point. The following gives a surprising property about perpendicular bisectors:

THEOREM 1. *The perpendicular bisectors of the three sides of a triangle are concurrent.*

(The point of concurrency is called the *circumcenter* of the triangle.)

9564 Let A', B', C' be the midpoints of \overline{BC} , \overline{AC} , and \overline{AB} , resp. (see figure 2). A naive approach
 9565 would try to prove directly that all three perpendicular bisectors meet at a point O . This is
 9566 too clumsy and also unnecessary. A better way is the following. Take two of the
 9567 perpendicular bisectors, say, those at A' and B' , and let them meet at a point O . Then we
 9568 show that O must lie on the perpendicular bisector of \overline{AB} . Theorem 1 would be proved.



9569
 9570 **Figure 2**

9571 One would appreciate this approach to the proof of Theorem 1 more if the perpendicular
 9572 bisector of a segment is better understood. To this end we first prove:


9573 **LEMMA 2.** *A point D is on the perpendicular bisector of a segment \overline{AB} if and only if*
 9574 *$\overline{DA} = \overline{DB}$.*

9575 **PROOF.** First, we explain the “if and only if” terminology. It is a shorthand to indicate that
 9576 two assertions must be proved:

9577 (i) *If the statement preceding this phrase is true, then the statement following this phrase*
 9578 *is also true.*

9579 (ii) *If the statement following this phrase is true, then the statement preceding this phrase*
 9580 *is also true.*

9581 For the case at hand, this means we have to prove two things (see figure 3):



9582

9583

Figure 3

9584 **LEMMA (2A).** *If D is on the perpendicular bisector of \overline{AB} , then $\overline{DA} = \overline{DB}$.*

9585 **LEMMA (2B).** *If $DA = DB$, then D is on the perpendicular bisector of \overline{AB} .*

9586 **PROOF OF (2A):** Let CD be the perpendicular bisector of AB .

9587 1. $\overline{AC} = \overline{CB}$, and $\angle DCA = \angle DCB = 90^\circ$. 1. Hypothesis.

9588 2. $CD = CD$. 2. Obvious.

9589 3. $\triangle ADC \cong \triangle BDC$. 3. SAS.

9590 4. $DA = DB$. 4. Corresponding sides of congruent triangles.

9591 Q.E.D.


9592 **PROOF OF (2B):** Given that $DA = DB$, we have to show that the perpendicular bisector of
 9593 \overline{AB} passes through D . Instead of doing so directly, we do something rather clever: we are
 9594 going to construct the *angle bisector* CD of $\angle ADB$. This means $\angle ADC = \angle CDB$. Of course,
 9595 we must first prove that there is such a line CD with the requisite property. Then we shall
 9596 prove $CD \perp AB$ and $\overline{AC} = \overline{CB}$ so that CD is the perpendicular bisector of AB .

9597 Recall that the Plane Separation Axiom makes it possible to define the *interior* of $\angle ADB$
 9598 as the intersection of the half-plane determined by DA which contains B and the half-plane
 9599 determined by DB which contains A . Now the following assertion is obvious pictorially:

9600 **ASSERTION A.** *If E is a point such that $\angle ADE < \angle ADB$, then E is in the interior of $\angle ADB$.*

9601 *Furthermore, the line DE intersects \overline{AB} .*

9602 For those who are truly curious, let it be mentioned that the first part of Assertion A can be
 9603 proved by using the Angle Addition Axiom and the second part by repeated applications
 9604 of the Plane Separation Axiom (see figure 4).



9605

9606 **Figure 4**

- | | | | |
|------|--|------|--------------------------------------|
| 9607 | | 9622 | |
| 9608 | 1. If the measure of $\angle ADB$ is x , there | 9623 | 1. Angle Construction Axiom and |
| 9609 | is a point E in the interior of $\angle ADB$ | 9624 | Assertion A. |
| 9610 | so that $\angle ADE = \frac{x}{2}$. | 9625 | |
| | | 9626 | |
| 9611 | 2. If DE meets \overline{AB} at C , | 9627 | 2. Angle Addition Axiom and |
| 9612 | $\angle ADC + \angle CDB = \angle ADB = x$. | 9628 | Assertion A. |
| 9613 | 3. $\angle CDB = x - \angle ADC = x - \frac{x}{2} = \frac{x}{2}$. | 9629 | 3. By 1 and 2. |
| 9614 | 4. $\angle ADC = \angle CDB$. | 9630 | 4. By 1 and 3. |
| 9615 | 5. $\overline{DA} = \overline{DB}$. | 9631 | 5. Hypothesis. |
| 9616 | 6. $\overline{CD} = \overline{CD}$. | 9632 | 6. Obvious. |
| 9617 | 7. $\triangle ACD \cong \triangle BCD$. | 9633 | 7. SAS. |
| 9618 | 8. $AC = CB$ and $\angle DCA = \angle DCB$. | 9634 | 8. Corresponding angles and sides of |
| 9619 | | 9635 | congruent triangles. |
| 9620 | 9. CD is the perpendicular bisector of | 9636 | 9. By 8 and the definition of a |
| 9621 | \overline{AB} . | 9637 | perpendicular bisector. Q.E.D. |

9638 *Exercise 1.* Using the preceding proof as a model, write out a complete proof of the
 9639 fact that if $\triangle ABC$ is isosceles with $\overline{AB} = \overline{AC}$, then the angle bisector of $\angle A$ is also the
 9640 perpendicular bisector of BC .

9641 From the point of view of Lemma 2, our approach to the proof of Theorem 1 is now
 9642 more transparent. As mentioned previously, this approach is to let the perpendicular
 9643 bisectors $A'O$ and $B'O$ of BC and AC , resp., meet at a point O . Then we shall prove
 9644 that O lies on the perpendicular bisector of AB (see figure 5).




Figure 5

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Join OB , OC , and OA .

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1. $\overline{OB} = \overline{OC}$. | 1. Lemma (2A) and the fact that OA' is the perpendicular bisector of BC . |
| 2. $OC = OA$. | 2. Lemma (2A) and the fact that OB' is the perpendicular bisector of AC . |
| 3. $OB = OA$. | 3. From 1 and 2. |
| 4. O lies on the perpendicular bisector of AB . | 4. Lemma (2B). Q.E.D. |

Circumcenter of a Triangle

COROLLARY TO THEOREM 1. *The circumcenter of a triangle is equidistant from all three vertices.*

The corollary is obvious if we look at steps 1–3 of the preceding proof. Note that, as figure 6 suggests, the circumcenter can be in the exterior of the triangle.




Figure 6

Several remarks about the proof of Theorem 1 are in order. First of all, this proof suggests a general method of proving the concurrency of three lines: let two of the three meet at a point O , and then show that O must lie on the third line. Technically, this is easier than directly proving that all three lines meet at a point. This method is a kind of *indirect proof* and is useful in many situations; for example, in proving that the three angle bisectors of a triangle are concurrent. In other words, if we think of proving a theorem as fighting a battle against an enemy, then it makes sense that *sometimes* we can defeat the enemy without resorting to a frontal attack.

A second remark has to do with the tacit assumption above, namely, that the perpendicular bisectors OA' and OB' of \overline{BC} and AC , resp., do meet at a point O . This is obvious from figure 6, and we usually do not bother to prove such obvious statements, being fully confident that—if challenged—we can prove them. For the sake of demonstration, however, we will supply a proof this time after we have proved a few properties of parallel lines. Thus, we shall prove:

ASSERTION B. *Perpendicular bisectors from two sides of a triangle must intersect.*


Note that there is no circular reasoning here: Assertion B will not be used to prove any of the theorems involving parallel lines. Indeed, we shall not have to face Assertion B again in this appendix.

A third remark concerns the name *circumcenter*. A *circle* with center O and radius r is by definition the collection of all points whose distance from O is r . The corollary to Theorem 1 may then be rephrased as: the circle with center O and radius OA passes through all three vertices. This circle is called the *circumcircle* of $\triangle ABC$, which then gives rise to the name “circumcenter.” (*Circum* means “around.”) Incidentally, Theorem 1 proves that any triangle determines a circle that passes through all three vertices.

Next, we turn attention to the *altitudes* of a triangle; i.e., the perpendiculars from the vertices to the opposite sides (see figure 7). We want to show that they too are

9688 concurrent. This demonstration needs some preparation. First of all, we have to show
 9689 that altitudes exist; i.e., through each vertex there is a line that is perpendicular to the
 9690 opposite side. More generally, we shall prove:

9691 **LEMMA 3.** *Given a point P and a line ℓ not containing P , there is a line PQ which is*
 9692 *perpendicular to ℓ .*



9694 **Figure 7**

9695 **PROOF.** Let $\ell = RS$. Recall that ℓ contains an infinite number of points (the Ruler
 9696 Axiom), and that the Plane Separation Axiom allows us to talk about the two sides of ℓ .

- | | | | |
|------|--|------|--|
| 9697 | 1. Join P to an arbitrary point A on ℓ . | 9711 | 1. Two points determine a line. |
| 9698 | 2. If x is the measure of $\angle PAR$, let Q | 9712 | 2. The Angle Construction Axiom. |
| 9699 | be a point on the side of ℓ not | 9713 | |
| 9700 | containing P so that $\angle PAQ = x$. | 9714 | |
| 9701 | 3. We may let Q be the point on AQ so | 9715 | 3. The Distance Axiom. |
| 9702 | that Q, P are on opposite sides of ℓ | 9716 | |
| 9703 | and $\overline{AQ} = \overline{AP}$. | 9717 | 4. The Plane Separation Axiom. |
| 9704 | 4. PQ meets ℓ at some point O . | 9718 | 5. From 1 and 2. |
| 9705 | 5. $\angle PAO = \angle QAO$. | 9719 | 6. Obvious. |
| 9706 | 6. $OA = OA$. | 9720 | 7. SAS. |
| 9707 | 7. $\triangle PAO \cong \triangle QAO$. | 9721 | 8. Corresponding angles of congruent |
| 9708 | 8. $\angle AOP = \angle AOQ$. | 9722 | triangles. |
| 9709 | | 9723 | 9. By definition of perpendicularity. Q.E.D. |
| 9710 | 9. $PQ \perp \ell$. | | |

9724 **Vertical Angles**

9725 Before we turn to parallel lines, we do some spadework. The teacher introduces the
9726 definition of *vertical angles* (omitted here).

9727 **LEMMA 4.** *Vertical angles are equal.*

9728 **PROOF.** Let AB , CD meet at O . We will show $\angle AOD = \angle BOC$ (see figure 8).

9729 1. $\angle AOD + \angle DOB = 180$ and

9733 1. The Angle Supplement Axiom.

9730 $\angle DOB + \angle BOC = 180$.


9734

9731 2. $\angle AOD + \angle DOB = \angle DOB + \angle BOC$.

9735 2. By 1.

9732 3. $\angle AOD = \angle BOC$.

9736 3. From 2 and the cancellation law of
9737 addition. Q.E.D.



9738

9739

Figure 8

9740 The teacher introduces the definitions of *exterior angle* and *remote interior angles* of a
9741 triangle (omitted here). To prove the next proposition, we shall assume a geometrically
9742 obvious fact. In figure 9 if M is any point on \overline{AC} , we shall assume as known:

9743 **ASSERTION C.** *If we extend BM along M to a point E , then E is always in the interior of*
9744 *$\angle ACD$.*

9745 This can be proved with repeated applications of the Plane Separation Axiom, but the
9746 argument is not inspiring.




Figure 9

PROPOSITION 5. *An exterior angle of a triangle is greater than either remote interior angle.*

PROOF. Let us show $\angle ACD > \angle BAC$ (see figure 10). To show $\angle ACD > \angle ABC$, we observe that the same proof would show $\angle BCG > \angle ABC$ and then use Lemma 4 to get $\angle BCG = \angle ACD$. Putting the two facts together, we get $\angle ACD > \angle ABC$.

Join B to the midpoint M of \overline{AC} and extend BM to a point E such that $BM = ME$ (the Ruler Axiom). Join CE .




Figure 10

9759 1. $\angle AMB = \angle EMC$.

9760 2. $\overline{BM} = \overline{ME}$.

9761 3. $AM = MC$.

9762 4. $\triangle AMB \cong \triangle CME$.

9763 5. $\angle BAM = \angle MCE$.

9764

9765 6. $\angle MCE < \angle ACD$.

9766

9767 7. $\angle BAC < \angle ACD$.

9768 1. Lemma 4.

9769 2. By construction.

9770 3. M is the midpoint of AC .

9771 4. SAS.

9772 5. Corresponding angles of congruent

9773 triangles.

9774 6. By the Angle Addition Axiom and

9775 Assertion C.

9776 7. By 5 and 6. Q.E.D.

9777 Parallel Lines

9778 We now come to some basic facts about parallel lines. Given two lines ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 , one
 9779 can introduce the definition of *alternate interior angles* and *corresponding angles* of ℓ_1
 9780 and ℓ_2 with respect to a transversal (omitted here). We shall need:

9781 **LEMMA 6.** *If two lines make equal alternate interior angles with a transversal, they are*
 9782 *parallel.*

9783 **PROOF.** Let the transversal be BE . Designate the two equal alternate interior angles as
 9784 $\angle\alpha$ and $\angle\beta$ (see figure 11). We assume that AC is not \parallel to DF and deduce a
 9785 contradiction. (This is an example of *proof by contradiction*.)




Figure 11

- 9788 1. AC meets DF either at a point K 9795 1. By the fact that AC is not \parallel to DF and
 9789 to the left of BE or at L to the right of 9796 by the Plane Separation Axiom.
 9790 BE . 9797
 9791 2. If AC meets DF at K , then $\angle\beta > \angle\alpha$, 9798 2.
 9792 contradicting $\angle\alpha = \angle\beta$. 9799
 9793 3. If AC meets DF at L , then $\angle\alpha > \angle\beta$ 9800 3. Q.E.D.
 9794 also contradicting $\angle\alpha = \angle\beta$.

9801 *Exercise 2.* Supply the reasons for steps 2 and 3.

9802 [Answers: Proposition 5 is the reason for both.]

9803 *Note:* The textbook and teacher must make sure that students are eventually given the
 9804 answers to problems of this nature; it is important to bring closure to a mathematical
 9805 discussion.


9806 This proposition complements the parallel axiom in the following sense. Notation is
 9807 given as in the preceding proof: suppose that DF and B are given and we want to
 9808 construct a line through B and $\parallel DF$. By the Angle Construction Axiom, with $\angle\beta$ as
 9809 given, we can construct $\angle\alpha$ with vertex at the given B on the other side of $\angle\beta$ but with
 9810 the same measure. Then by Lemma 6, AC is a line passing through B which is
 9811 $\parallel DF$. Therefore:

9812 **COROLLARY TO LEMMA 6.** *Through a point not on a line ℓ , there is one and only one line*
 9813 *parallel to ℓ .*

9814 Lemma 7 is the converse of Lemma 6.

9815 **LEMMA 7.** *Alternate interior angles of parallel lines with respect to a transversal are*
 9816 *equal.*

9817 **PROOF.** The notation is as before, suppose $AC \parallel DF$. We shall prove $\angle\alpha = \angle\beta$ (see
 9818 figure 12).



9819
 9820 **Figure 12**
 9821

- | | | | |
|------|--|------|---------------------------------|
| 9822 | 1. At B , construct $\angle A'BE$ to the left of | 9828 | 5. 1. Angle Construction Axiom. |
| 9823 | BE so that $\angle A'BE = \angle\beta$. | 9829 | |
| 9824 | 2. $A'B \parallel DF$. | 9830 | 2. <input type="text"/> |
| 9825 | 3. Since $A'B$ passes through B , $A'B =$ | 9831 | 3. <input type="text"/> |
| 9826 | AB . | 9832 | 4. By 3. Q.E.D. |
| 9827 | 4. $\angle\alpha = \angle A'BE = \angle\beta$. | | |

9833 *Exercise 3.* Supply the reasons for steps 2 and 3.

9834 [Answers: Step 2. Lemma 6. Step 3. The Parallel Axiom.]

9835 *Exercise 4.* Prove that corresponding angles of parallel lines with respect to a
 9836 transversal are equal.

9837 **Parallelograms**

9838 The teacher introduces the definition of a *quadrilateral* (omitted here). A *parallelogram*
 9839 is a quadrilateral with parallel opposite sides. We shall need two properties of parallel-
 9840 ograms that are pictorially plausible when a parallelogram is drawn carefully.

9841 **LEMMA 8.** *A quadrilateral is a parallelogram if and only if it has a pair of sides which*
 9842 *are parallel and equal.*

9843 **LEMMA 9.** *A quadrilateral is a parallelogram if and only if its opposite sides are equal.*

9844 **PROOF OF LEMMA 8.** First we prove that if quadrilateral $ABCD$ has a pair of sides which
 9845 are parallel and equal, then it is a parallelogram. In figure 13 we assume $\overline{AB} = \overline{CD}$ and
 9846 $AB \parallel CD$. Then we have to prove $AD \parallel BC$.




Figure 13

9849

9850 1. Join BD . $\overline{BD} = \overline{BD}$.

9851 2. $\angle ABD = \angle BDC$.

9852 3. $\triangle ABD \cong \triangle CDB$.

9853 4. $\angle ADB = \angle DBC$.

9854

9855 5. $AD \parallel BC$.

9856 1. Two points determine a line.

9857 2. Lemma 7.

9858 3. SAS.

9859 4. Corresponding angles of congruent
 9860 triangles.

9861 5. Lemma 6. Q.E.D.

9862 Next we prove that a parallelogram has a pair of sides which are parallel and equal.
 9863 Since $AB \parallel DC$ by definition, it suffices to prove that $AB = DC$. Let notation be as in
 9864 the preceding proof.

9865 1. $\angle ABD = \angle BDC$ and $\angle ADB = \angle DBC$. 9869 1. Lemma 7.

9866 2. $BD = BD$.

9870 2. Obvious.

9867 3. $\triangle ABD \cong \triangle CDB$.


9871 3. ASA.

9868 4. $AB = DC$.

9872 4. Corresponding sides of congruent
 9873 triangles. Q.E.D.

9874 *Exercise 5.* Prove Lemma 9 (it is similar to the proof of Lemma 8).

9875 *Exercise 6.* Prove that a quadrilateral $ABCD$ is a parallelogram if and only if the
 9876 diagonals \overline{AC} and \overline{BD} bisect each other; i.e., if they intersect at E , then $AE = EC$
 9877 and $BE = ED$ (see figure 14).



9878
 9879 **Figure 14**

9880 The following lemma is an immediate consequence of Lemma 6. Convince yourself of
 9881 this, and be sure to draw pictures to see what it says.

9882 **LEMMA 10.** Suppose two lines ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 are parallel. (i) If ℓ is a line perpendicular to ℓ_1 ,
 9883 then ℓ is also perpendicular to ℓ_2 . (ii) If another two lines L_1 and L_2 satisfy $L_1 \perp \ell_1$ and
 9884 $L_2 \perp \ell_2$, then $L_1 \parallel L_2$.

9885 We can now prove Assertion B stated after the proof of Theorem 1. Let $\triangle ABC$ be
 9886 given and let lines ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 be the perpendicular bisectors of \overline{BC} and \overline{AC} , resp. (see
 9887 figure 15). Let lines L_1 and L_2 be lines containing \overline{BC} and \overline{AC} , resp. If Assertion B is
 9888 false, then $\ell_1 \parallel \ell_2$. By Lemma 10 (ii), $L_1 \parallel L_2$. But we know L_1 meets L_2 at C , a
 9889 contradiction. Thus ℓ_1 must meet ℓ_2 after all.

9890 We are in a position to prove one of our main results.




Figure 15

The Orthocenter

THEOREM 11. *The three altitudes of a triangle are concurrent.* (This point is called the orthocenter of the triangle.)

PROOF. Let $\triangle ABC$ be given and let its altitudes be AD , BE , and CF . The idea of the proof is to turn AD , BE , CF into perpendicular bisectors of a bigger triangle and use Theorem 1. The idea itself is sophisticated and is attributed to the great mathematician C. F. Gauss. Technically, however, it is quite simple to execute. It illustrates a general phenomenon in mathematics: sometimes a seemingly difficult problem becomes simple when it is put into the proper context (see figure 16).




Figure 16

- 9904 1. Through A , there is a line 9922 1. Corollary to Lemma 6.
 9905 $C'B' \parallel BC$. 9923
- 9906 2. Similarly, let $C'A'$ and $B'A'$ be lines 9924 2. Same reason.
 9907 through B and C , resp., such that 9925
 9908 $C'A' \parallel AC$ and $B'A' \parallel AB$. 9926
- 9909 3. $ABCB'$ is a parallelogram. 9927 3. From 1 and 2.
 9910 4. $AB' = BC$. 9928 4. Lemma 9.
 9911 5. $ACBC'$ is likewise a parallelogram 9929 5. See 3 and 4.
 9912 and $C'A = BC$. 9930
- 9913 6. $C'A = AB'$. 9931 6. From 4 and 5.
 9914 7. $AD \perp BC$. 9932 7. Hypothesis.
 9915 8. $AD \perp C'B'$. 9933 8. Lemma 10(i).
 9916 9. AD is the perpendicular bisector 9934 9. From 6 and 8.
 9917 of $C'B'$. 9935
- 9918 10. Similarly, BE and CF are 9936 10. See 3 through 9.
 9919 perpendicular bisectors of $C'A'$ and 9937
 9920 $A'B'$, resp. 9938
- 9921 11. AD , BE , and CF are concurrent. 9939 11. Apply Theorem 1 to $\triangle A'B'C'$. Q.E.D.

9940 The Medians and Centroid of a Triangle

9941 The line joining a vertex of a triangle to the midpoint of the opposite side is called a
 9942 *median* of the triangle.

9943 *Exercise 7.* In the notation of the proof of Theorem 11, prove that AA' , BB' , and CC'
 9944 are the medians of $\triangle ABC$ as well.

Finally, we turn to the proof of the concurrence of the medians. The proof will be seen to have many points of contact with the proof of Theorem 11 shown above. Instead of turning “outward” to a bigger triangle, however, the proof of the concurrence of the medians turns “inward” and looks at the triangle obtained by joining the midpoints of the three sides. To this end, the following theorem is fundamental.

THEOREM 12. *The line segment joining the midpoints of two sides of a triangle is parallel to the third side and is equal to half of the third side.*

PROOF. Thus if $\overline{AE} = \overline{EB}$ and $\overline{AF} = \overline{FC}$, then $EF \parallel BC$ and $\overline{EF} = \frac{1}{2} \overline{BC}$.

To motivate the proof, note that all the axioms and the theorems presented so far deal with the equality of two objects (angles, segments, and so forth), not about *half* of something else. So it makes sense to try to reformulate $EF = \frac{1}{2} BC$ as a statement about the equality of two equal segments (see figure 17). What then is simpler than doubling EF ? Students will learn that the construction of so-called auxiliary lines, such as FP and PC in the following proof, is a fact of life in Euclidean geometry.




Figure 17

- | | |
|---|--|
| <p>9961 1. Extend \overline{EF} along F to P so that EF</p> <p>9962 FP and join PC.</p> <p>9963 2. $AF = FC$.</p> <p>9964 3. $\angle AFE = \angle PFC$.</p> <p>9965 4. $\triangle AFE \cong \triangle CFP$.</p> <p>9966 5. $\angle AEF = \angle FPC$.</p> <p>9967</p> <p>9968 6. $EB \parallel PC$.</p> <p>9969 7. $AE = PC$.</p> <p>9970</p> <p>9971 8. $AE = EB$.</p> <p>9972 9. $EB = PC$.</p> <p>9973 10. $EBCP$ is a parallelogram.</p> <p>9974 11. $EF \parallel BC$.</p> <p>9975</p> <p>9976 12. $EP = BC$.</p> <p>9977 13. $EP = 2 EF$.</p> <p>9978 14. $EF = \frac{1}{2} BC$.</p> | <p>9979 1. The Ruler Axiom and the fact that</p> <p>9980 two points determine a line.</p> <p>9981 2. Hypothesis.</p> <p>9982 3. Lemma 4.</p> <p>9983 4.</p> <p>9984 5. By 4 and corresponding angles of</p> <p>9985 congruent triangles are equal.</p> <p>9986 6. Lemma 6.</p> <p>9987 7. By 4 and corresponding sides of</p> <p>9988 congruent triangles are equal.</p> <p>9989 8. Hypothesis.</p> <p>9990 9. By 7 and 8.</p> <p>9991 10.</p> <p>9992 11. By 10 and the definition of a</p> <p>9993 parallelogram.</p> <p>9994 12.</p> <p>9995 13. By 1.</p> <p>9996 14. By 12 and 13. Q.E.D.</p> <p>9997</p> |
|---|--|

9998 *Exercise 8.* Supply the reasons for steps 4, 10, and 12.

9999 [Answers: Step 4. By 1 through 3 and SAS. Step 10. By 6, 9, and Lemma 8.

10000 Step 12. By 10 and Lemma 9.]

10001 **PROOF OF THEOREM 12 IN NARRATIVE FORM.** Extend EF to a point P so that $EF = FP$.

10002 Join PC . We are going to prove that $\triangle AEF \cong \triangle CPF$. This proof is possible because

10003 the vertical angles $\angle AFE$ and $\angle CFP$ are equal, $AF = FC$ by hypothesis and $EF = FP$ by

10004 construction. So SAS gives the desired congruence. It follows that $\angle AEF = \angle CPF$ and
 10005 therefore that $AB \parallel PC$ (Lemma 6) and $\overline{AE} = \overline{PC}$. Because $AE = EB$, EB and PC are
 10006 both parallel and equal. Hence $EBCP$ is a parallelogram (Lemma 8). In particular, $EP \parallel$
 10007 BC and, by Lemma 10, $EP = BC$. Hence $BC = EF + FP = 2EF$. Q.E.D.

10008 *Exercise 9.* Prove that two lines that are each parallel to a third line are parallel to
 10009 each other.

10010 *In the next four exercises, do not use Axiom 16 on similarity (p. D-3) in your proofs.*

10011 *Exercise 10.* Let E be the midpoint of AB in $\triangle ABC$. Then the line passing through E
 10012 which is parallel to BC bisects AC .

10013 *Exercise 11.* Let $ABCD$ be any quadrilateral, and let A' , B' , C' , and D' be the
 10014 midpoints of AB , BC , CD , and DA , resp. Then $A'B'C'D'$ is a parallelogram.

10015 *Exercise 12.* Given $\triangle ABC$. Let L , M be points on AB and AC , resp., so that $\overline{AL} =$
 10016 $\frac{1}{4}\overline{AB}$ and $\frac{1}{4}\overline{AC}$ and $AM = \frac{1}{4}AC$. Prove that $LM \parallel BC$ and $LM = \frac{1}{4}BC$.

10017 *Exercise 13.* Given $\triangle ABC$. Let L , M be points on AB and AC , resp., so that $\overline{AL} =$
 10018 $\frac{1}{3}\overline{AB}$ and $AM = \frac{1}{3}AC$. Prove that $LM \parallel BC$ and $LM = \frac{1}{3}BC$. (Hint: Begin by imitating
 10019 the proof of Theorem 12.)

10020 *Exercise 14.* (For those who know mathematical induction.) Let n be a positive
 10021 integer. Given $\triangle ABC$. Let L , M be points on AB and AC , resp., so that $AL = \frac{1}{n}AB$ and
 10022 $AM = \frac{1}{n}AC$. Prove that $LM \parallel BC$ and $LM = \frac{1}{n}BC$.

10023 For the proof of the next theorem, we shall assume the following three facts:

10024 **ASSERTION D.** Any two medians of a triangle meet in the interior of the triangle.

10025 **ASSERTION E.** Two lines that are each parallel to a third are parallel to each other.

10026 **ASSERTION F.** The diagonals of a parallelogram bisect each other.

10027 For Assertion D one first has to define the *interior* of a triangle by using the Plane
 10028 Separation Axiom. The proof then uses this axiom repeatedly, a tedious process.
 10029 Assertion E is Exercise 9 shown above, and Assertion F is Exercise 6 on p. D-13.

10030 **THEOREM 13.** *Let BE be a median of $\triangle ABC$. Then any other median must meet \overline{BE} at*
 10031 *the point G so that $BG = 2GE$.*

10032 **PROOF.** Let CF be another median and let CF meet BE at a point to be denoted also
 10033 by G for simplicity. We will prove that $BG = 2GE$, which would then finish the proof of
 10034 the theorem (see figure 18).




Figure 18

10037 Join FE and join the midpoint L of \overline{BG} to the midpoint M of \overline{CG} . Applying Theorem 12
 10038 to $\triangle ABC$, we get $BC = 2FE$ and $FE \parallel BC$. Similarly, applying the same theorem to
 10039 $\triangle GBC$ yields $BC = 2LM$ and $LM \parallel BC$. Hence FE and LM are equal and parallel (by
 10040 Assertion E), and $FEML$ is a parallelogram (Lemma 8). By Assertion F, $LG = GE$.
 10041 L being the midpoint of BG implies $BL = LG = GE$ so that $BG = 2GE$. Q.E.D.

10042 **Exercise 15.** Let D, E, F be the midpoints of BC, AC , and AB , resp., in $\triangle ABC$. Prove
 10043 that $\triangle AFE, \triangle DFE, \triangle FBD$, and $\triangle EDC$ are all congruent.

10044 $\triangle DFE$ in Exercise 15 is called the *medial triangle* of $\triangle ABC$.

10045 It follows immediately from Theorem 13 that both of the other two medians of $\triangle ABC$
 10046 must intersect BE at the point G . Hence we have:

10047 **THEOREM 14.** *The three medians of a triangle are concurrent, and the point of*
10048 *concurrency is two-thirds of the length of each median from the vertex. (This is the*
10049 *centroid of the triangle.)*

10050 *Exercise 16.* Show that the centroid of a triangle is also the centroid of its medial
10051 triangle.

10052 One may conjecture in view of Theorem 14 that if we trisect each side of a triangle,
10053 then the lines joining a vertex to an appropriate point of trisection on the opposite side
10054 may also be concurrent. One accurately drawn picture (see figure 19) is enough to lay
10055 such wishful thinking to rest. Such a picture then provides a *counterexample* to this
10056 conjecture.




Figure 19

10063 Part of the charm of Euclidean geometry is that most conjectures can be made
10064 plausible or refuted by a judicious picture. Compared with other subjects, such as
10065 algebra or calculus, this way of confronting a conjecture in geometry is by far the most
10066 pleasant.